



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/806,201	03/22/2004	Bernadette Depke	092970.00002	1940

33448 7590 10/06/2010

ROBERT J. DEPKE
LEWIS T. STEADMAN
ROCKEY, DEPKE & LYONS, LLC
SUITE 5450 SEARS TOWER
CHICAGO, IL 60606-6306

EXAMINER

FLANDERS, ANDREW C

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2614

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

10/06/2010

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/806,201	Applicant(s) DEPKE ET AL.	
	Examiner ANDREW C. FLANDERS	Art Unit 2614	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 July 2010.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4,6 and 11-14 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4,6 and 11-14 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 12 August 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 29 July 2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant alleges:

Applicants have carefully reviewed the teachings of Christensen in light of the presently claimed subject matter and note that there is a substantial difference between the claimed invention at least in regard to the storage of the content information in the web enabled cellular telephone as disclosed and claimed in the instant application. Specifically, Applicants note that the claims specify that the web enabled cellular telephone transmits the song identification information to a website via a computer to which the web enabled cellular telephone is connected and the website transfers the music to the web enabled cellular telephone~ the music being transferred to and stored in the web enabled cellular telephone after a user confirms orderin~ of the son~s on the playlist.

Applicants respectfully submit that neither the prior art Christensen reference nor any other reference of record discloses or suggests a system wherein the desired content is "transferred to and stored in the web enabled cellular telephone." At best, Christensen merely describes utilization of a cellular telephone for the purpose of placing an order based on content that has been designated by a so-called Technology Enabled Radio. Significantly, there is no indication or suggestion that the content should be transferred directly to the web enabled cellular telephone as disclosed and claimed in the instant application. In contrast with the present invention, Christensen merely describes a system wherein the content is made available through download server 154 that transmits the information either directly to the Technology Enabled Radio or it is made available to a personal computer. Significantly, there is no teaching or suggestion whatsoever regarding the direct transfer of content to a cellular telephone as disclosed and claimed in the instant application. See, specifically the description in Christensen regarding the operational details concerning the download server 154 at column 5 lines 25-35.

Examiner respectfully disagrees. Christensen clearly teaches storing the downloaded content to flash card 220; col. 7 lines 26 - 28, which is part of radio 200.

Alternately, it should be noted that Christensen also clearly discloses transferring the requested material directly to radio receiver 100; col. 5 24 – 25.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 6 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Christensen (U.S. Patent 7,415,430).

Regarding **Claims 1 and 11**, Christensen discloses:

A system and method for downloading music (Fig. 2, which incorporates elements of Fig. 1, specifically the Automatic purchase system) comprising:

a web enabled (i.e. personal account web URL usable on the radio; col. 7 line 25 - 38) cellular telephone (in one embodiment the selected items are transmitted using a wireless transmitter 218 such as a wireless telephone) having circuitry configured for receiving and storing in a first memory a play list of song identification information for downloading (i.e. a play list on a removable memory that allows user can access the

Art Unit: 2614

internet for downloading; col. 6 liens 60 – 67; flash card col. 7 lines 30 – 52) transferred from a device having:

a second memory containing information designating a plurality of songs for downloading (internet server device 260 for downloading content to the personal computer 240; server device including an audio database; server device containing audio files for download, these files must be stored) wherein

the second memory is associated with a mechanism for selectively storing song identification information in the second memory based on a digital transmitted signal which specifically identifies the music (download server 154 communicates with the audio database and encoding server 144 to provide available sound database information 164; also the audio database encoding server 144 matches the information sent from the radio system 142 with information in the database, if there is an audio file available for download, the server formats it accordingly);

the web enabled cellular telephone transmits the song identification information to a website via computer (i.e. flash memory inserted into PC 240; transmitting request to purchase to server 260) to which the web enabled cellular telephone is connected (via data port 316 or flash memory) and the website transfers the music to the web enabled cellular telephone, the music being transferred to and stored in the web enabled telephone after a user confirms ordering of the songs on the play list (The user can access the account over the Internet, and the user can download purchased content to a personal computer; Fig. 2; the user's receiver 100 then saves the audio on

Art Unit: 2614

an internal memory or a removable memory device or holds the audio content until the user chooses to download it using the internet; para 24)

Regarding **Claim 6**, in addition to the elements stated above regarding claim 1, Christensen further discloses:

wherein at least a portion of the system is incorporated into a radio (i.e. the device is configured to receive radio broadcasts; entire document)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 2 – 4 and 12 – 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Christensen (U.S. Patent 7,415,430).

Regarding **Claims 2 – 4 and 12 – 14**, in addition to the elements stated above regarding claims 1 and 11, Christensen does not explicitly disclose:

wherein the first memory is an EEPROM, magnetic disc drive or RAM memory. However, Examiner takes official notice that EEPROM, magnetic disc drive and RAM memories are notoriously well known in the art. These memories are art recognized equivalents for the flash memory disclosed in Christensen. Substituting one of these memories would have been obvious to try to one of ordinary skill in the art given the vast knowledge on each of the different types as art recognized equivalents. Each of

the various types of memories provides different features that may be preferable for someone recreating the Christensen reference. For example, size, cost, performance and other factors may be desirable for certain implementations and recreations.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ANDREW C. FLANDERS whose telephone number is (571)272-7516. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz can be reached on (571) 272-7499. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2614

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Andrew C Flanders/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2614